- (b) If an institution wishes NSF to defer independent inquiry or investigation, NSF expects it to;
- (1) Inform NSF immediately if an initial inquiry supports a formal investigation.
- (2) Keep NSF informed during such an investigation.
- (3) Notify NSF even before deciding to initiate an investigation or as required during an investigation
- (i) If the seriousness of apparent misconduct warrants:
- (ii) If immediate health hazards are involved;
- (iii) If NSF's resources, reputation, or other interests need protecting:
- (iv) If Federal action may be needed to protect the interests of a subject of the investigation or of others potentially affected; or
- (v) If the scientific community or the public should be informed.
- (4) Provide NSF with the final report from any investigation.
- (c) If an institution wishes NSF to defer independent inquiry or investigation, it should complete any inquiry and decide whether an investigation is warranted within 90 days. It should similarly complete any investigation and reach a disposition within 180 days. If completion of an inquiry or investigation is delayed, but the institution wishes NSF deferral to continue, NSF may require submission of periodic status reports.
- (d) Awardee institutions should maintain and effectively communicate to their staffs appropriate policies and procedures relating to misconduct, which should indicate when NSF must or should be notified.

§ 689.4 Initial NSF handling of misconduct matters

- (a) NSF staff who learn of alleged misconduct will promptly and discreetly inform OIG or refer informants to OIG.
- (b) To the extent possible the identify of informants who wish to remain anonymous will be kept confidential. To the extent allowed by law, documents and files maintained by NSF during the course of an inquiry or investigation of misconduct will be treated as investigative files exempt from mandatory pubic disclosure upon

- request under the Freedom of Information Act.
- (c) If alleged misconduct may involve a crime, OIG will determine whether any criminal investigation is already pending or projected. If not, OIG will determine whether the matter should be referred to the Department of Justice.
 - (d) Otherwise OIG may:
- (1) Inform the awardee institution of the alleged misconduct and encourage it to undertake an inquiry:
- (2) Defer to inquiries or investigations of the awardee institution or of another Federal agency;
- (3) At any time proceed with its own inquiry.
- (e) If OIG proceeds with its own inquiry it will normally complete the inquiry no more than 60 days after initiating it.
- (f) On the basis of what it learns from an inquiry and in consultation as appropriate with other NSF offices, OIG will decide whether a formal NSF investigation is warranted.

§ 689.5 Investigations.

- (a) When an awardee institution or another Federal agency has promptly initiated its own investigation, OIG may defer an NSF inquiry or investigation until it receives the results of that external investigation. If it does not receive the results within 180 days, OIG will ordinarily proceed with its own investigation.
- (b) If OIG decides to initiate an NSF investigation, it must give prompt written notice to the individual or institutions to be investigated, unless notice would prejudice the investigation or unless a criminal investigation is underway or under active consideration. if notice is delayed, it must be given as soon as it will no longer prejudice the investigation or contravene requirements of law or Federal law-enforcement policies.
- (c) If a criminal investigation by the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, or another Federal agency is underway or under active consideration by these agencies or the NSF, OIG will determine what information, if any, may be disclosed to the subject of the investigation or to other NSF employees.